Readoption Review

Notification of Person at Risk 410 IAC 1-2.2

1. Is there a continued need for this rule?

410 IAC 1-2.2 establishes a framework for the process of notification of persons at risk and requires the enforcement by such governing bodies. 410 IAC 1-2.2 identifies a systematic and confidential approach to ensure the notification of persons at risk is enacted upon by persons defined within the rule. All sections remain in effect so there is continued need for the rule to provide guidance in such matters.

2. What is the nature of any complaints or comments received from the public, including small business, concerning the rule or the implementation of the rule by the agency?

Since the effective date of the rule, there has been little to no complaints received from the public or small business concerning the implementation of the rule by ISDH. This is not surprising since the regulation of such a rule is often supported by those affected by persons at risk as well as thought to play an important part in decreasing the spread such risks. ISDH acts as the nucleus within the collaborative efforts of partnering entities by providing guidance and leadership in the notification of persons of risk.

3. Examine the complexity of the rule, including difficulties encountered by the agency in administering the rule and small businesses in complying with the rule.

No complaints have been received from small business about the rule or the implementation of it and ISDH is not aware of any difficulties in administration of or compliance with the rule. The rule provides a simplified approach in defining persons at risk, risk activities and procedures for notification. Once a person at risk has been identified the notification process is initiated.

4. To what extent does the rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other federal, state, or local laws, rules, regulations, or ordinances?

The rule does not overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other federal, state, or local laws, rules, regulations, or ordinances. However, this is not to say other states carry the exact same rules. Variations by state may exist and affect persons at risk differently when crossing borders.

5. When was the last time the rule was reviewed under this section or otherwise evaluated by the agency, and the degree to which technology, economic

conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by this rule since that time?

Nothing in the environment or other factors have changed the need for this rule.